

- 1 -

Date: 1/26/04Express Mail Label No. EV 214952506 US

Inventors: Thomas Farkas, Abram P. Dancy, Leif E. LaWhite and Martin F. Schlecht

Attorney's Docket No.: 1465.2009-003

## CHARGE PUMP BYPASS

## RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application identified by Attorney Docket No. 1465.2009-002 entitled "Charge Pump Bypass" filed on January 23, 2004 with Thomas Farkas, Abram P. Dancy, Leif E. LaWhite and Martin F. Schlecht as inventors, which claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Nos. 60/453,423, filed on March 7, 2003 and 60/525,058, filed on November 25, 2003. The entire teachings of the above applications are incorporated herein by reference.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Power converters, such as non-isolated DC/DC down converters, are often built using integrated control circuits. These control IC's direct the operation of the power converter's power stage, and they implement various control functions that are required to create a well-behaved power converter under all operating conditions.

One such control function provided by some control IC's is that of a bias supply to provide power to the controller's internal circuitry and to the driver of the power MOSFET gates. The Intersil ISL6526, for example, is specified to operate from supplies of 3V to 5.5V. When operated from supplies of 3V to 3.6V, a bias supply in the form of an internal charge pump is used to generate the higher voltages required for the IC's internal circuitry and for a gate drive voltage that will result in full enhancement of the power MOSFETs. When operated from supplies of 4.5V to 5.5V

17707 U.S. PTO  
012604

this charge pump is bypassed and the internal circuitry is powered directly from the input voltage supply.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Modern DC/DC converter applications desire to be able to operate over ever-wider supply voltage ranges. The Intersil ISL6526 mentioned above, however, requires that it be configured in two different arrangements depending upon the supply voltage: charge-pump active for low supply voltages, or charge-pump bypassed for higher supply voltages. This would normally require converter manufacturers to design, manufacture, and support two different products: one for ~3.3V (low) input voltage supplies and another for ~5V (high) input voltage supplies. It also requires that converter customers select, approve, and inventory one, or probably both, of those offerings.

Market forces desire to achieve proper operation over the entire supply range with the identical product, minimizing the design, approval, and inventory costs of multiple similar converters. To gain market acceptance, the converter should re-configure itself to operate properly over a wide range of input voltage. This document describes circuitry which, when added to a control IC such as the ISL6526, allows it to operate correctly over its entire specified input voltage supply range. The circuitry automatically reconfigures the bias supply in the PWM IC to operate not only in the low and high voltage regimes, but also throughout the continuum between.

Though construed for the ISL6526, the essence of this invention is applicable with other control ICs as well.

In embodiments of the invention, a charge pump circuit includes a charge pumping capacitance and switches that vary voltage across the pumping capacitance to provide a pumped output voltage from an input voltage. A clamp circuit between the input and output prevents the output voltage from being significantly below the input voltage. Where the charge pump is included in a controller, the clamp can prevent the output voltage from being below the input voltage by an amount which would cause the

controller to malfunction. In a typical application, the output voltage would be clamped to be no more than .2 Volt below the input voltage.

The clamp circuit may comprise a transistor such as a field effect transistor. The transistor may be controlled by a comparator which may exhibit hysteresis.

5 Alternatively, the transistor may be controlled by an amplifier.

A particular application of the invention is in a DC/DC converter in which the pumped output voltage is applied to a controller that controls converter switches.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 The foregoing and other objects, features and advantages of the invention will be apparent from the following more particular description of preferred embodiments of the invention, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings in which like reference characters refer to the same parts throughout the different views. The drawings are not necessarily to scale, emphasis instead being placed upon illustrating the principles of the invention.

15 Figure 1, Intersil ISL6526 PWM IC Block Diagram

Figure 2, Charge Pump Internal Switches

Figure 3a, ISL6526 Configured for Operation near 3.3V in.

Figure 3b, ISL6526 Configured for Operation near 5.0V in.

Figure 4, ISL6526 With Clamp, Configured for Wide-Range Input Voltage

20 Figure 5, Clamp Implemented with a Schottky Diode

Figure 6, Simple Amplifier-Controlled Bipolar Clamp

Figure 7, Adjustable Amplifier-Controlled Bipolar Clamp

Figure 8, Amplifier-Based Clamp with MOSFET

Figure 9, Comparator-Based Clamp with MOSFET & Hysteresis

25 Figure 10, Implementation of Clamp Circuit

Figure 11, Implementation with Explicit Offset

Figure 12, Implementation of Circuit

Figure 13, Normally-on Clamp Circuit

Figure 14, Normally-on Clamp Aiding Tolerance Issue of Resistor Dividers

Figure 15, Amplifier & Reference Based MOSFET Clamp

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

5 A description of preferred embodiments of the invention follows.

Figure 1 shows the internal block diagram of the Intersil ISL6526. This control IC is intended for use in constructing synchronous buck DC/DC converters. Input power,  $V_{in}$ , is supplied to the IC via the  $V_{cc}$  pin. Pins  $U_{gate}$  and  $L_{gate}$  drive gates of external power MOSFETs. The IC also contains an internal reference, an error amp, an  
10 oscillator, and most of the other circuitry required to perform PWM-controlled switching of the external power MOSFETs.

Since this IC is intended to operate from relatively low supply voltages, it also contains the switches to implement a charge pump. This charge pump is used to (optionally) raise the IC's internal supply voltage,  $CPV_{out}$ , higher than  $V_{in}$ . This is  
15 required, in this instance, to properly power the remainder of IC's internal circuitry and to fully enhance external power MOSFETs.

The internal switches comprising the charge pump are as depicted in Figure 2. For the purposes of this discussion the charge pump is a standard 4-switch charge pump, but any charge pump may be used. When switches  $S1A$  and  $S1B$  are closed,  
20 pumping capacitance  $C_t$  is charged from the voltage  $V_{cc}$  (which is typically connected to the input supply voltage,  $V_{in}$ ). When the voltage on  $CPV_{out}$  falls below a minimum threshold, in this case around 4.5V, switches  $S1A$  and  $S1B$  are opened and  $S2A$  and  $S2B$  are closed, transferring a portion of the charge on  $C_t$  to  $C_{dcpl}$ . After the portion of the charge has transferred,  $S2A$  and  $S2B$  are opened and  $S1A$  and  $S1B$  re-closed.

25 Figure 3a shows a typical implementation of a Synchronous Buck DCDC Converter. Power MOSFETs  $Q1$  and  $Q2$  are turned on alternately, connecting  $L_{out}$  to either  $V_{in}$  or Ground.  $L_{out}$  and  $C_{out}$  form a 2<sup>nd</sup>-order LC filter, smoothing the switching action of  $Q1$  and  $Q2$ , and providing an essentially constant voltage,  $V_{out}$ .

The value of  $V_{out}$  is sensed through  $R_{fb}$ , and the switching of Q1 and Q2 is adjusted by internal circuitry to hold  $V_{out}$  at a desired level. Components to affect the dynamics of the switching control and to disable the converter are also depicted in Figure 3a; they are, however, irrelevant to the remainder of this discussion.

5           With  $V_{cc} < 4.5V$ , as in the application of Figure 3a, the charge pump is running normally and node CPVout will be at a higher voltage than  $V_{cc}$ . But if  $V_{cc}$  rises above CPVout, input signals can exceed their valid range causing improper operation of both the PWM IC and the power circuit. Furthermore, if the input voltage rises to more than a diode-drop above the CPVout minimum threshold of 5V, a junction internal to the  
10   PWM IC carries current at those valleys. This current also causes improper operation and can be destructive to the IC. For this reason the circuit of Figure 3b, with  $V_{cc}$  tied directly to CPVout and the charge pump disconnected, is used for  $V_{cc} > 4.5V$ . Obviously, the manufacturer did not foresee the desirability of using this PWM IC in a single device with a wide input voltage supply range.

15           It is desirable to have a method to allow CPVout to be pumped up above  $V_{cc}$  when  $V_{cc}$  is low and the charge pump is running, yet clamp CPVout to  $V_{cc}$ , preventing it from being significantly below  $V_{cc}$ , when  $V_{cc}$  rises above the CPVout minimum threshold. For the case of the ISL6526 control IC, CPVout should never be allowed to be more than 0.2V below  $V_{cc}$ .

20           One method to accomplish this goal is to add a clamp from  $V_{cc}$  to CPVout as illustrated in Figure 4 with an ISL6526 PWM controller in a synchronous buck converter.

          A simple implementation of that clamp can be a Schottky diode as shown in Figure 5. Unfortunately, to achieve the low forward drop required to insure proper  
25   operation of the PWM IC, a rather large and expensive Schottky diode must be used. Schottky diodes of this size exhibit enough reverse leakage current at high temperatures to overload the charge pump at low input supply voltages, causing CPVout to droop unacceptably. Thus, even the relatively low forward drop of an acceptable Schottky diode is unsuitable to maintain proper operation of the IC at the high end of the input

voltage range. A bipolar C-E junction is one example of a device that does provide sufficiently close clamping in this instance. And an amplifier or comparator provides an example of a way to control the junction.

Figure 6 shows a simple amplifier-controlled bipolar clamp. The gain,  $A$ , and offset of the amplifier are designed so that when  $V_{cc}$  exceeds  $CPV_{out}$ , Q1 is turned on and holds  $CPV_{out}$  within a couple tenths of a volt (or a saturation drop) of  $V_{cc}$ . As  $V_{cc}$  drops below  $CPV_{out}$  (and the charge pump begins running), the amplifier turns Q1 off.

The circuit of Figure 6 might have difficulties with the common-mode range of its inputs. If required, both inputs could be divided as shown in Figure 7. This also explicitly shows how the amplifier offset could be controlled via the relative resistor-divider ratios:  $R_3, R_5$  and  $R_2, R_4$ .

In both the circuits of Fig. 6 and 7, if the amplifier gain is increased to essentially infinity, a 'comparator-based' version results. In many instances this works just as well and is simpler to both design and implement.

In both the circuits of Figs. 6 and 7, when Q1 is off it is biased reverse of normal; that is, its collector is at a higher voltage than its emitter when it is turned off. This can be understood by remembering that when  $V_{cc}$  is low and the charge pump is running,  $CPV_{out}$  is greater than  $V_{cc}$ . It is important that Q1 not leak so much in this mode that it overloads the charge pump and draws  $CPV_{out}$  down. To alleviate leakage concerns, the circuit of Figure 6 or Figure 7 can also be modified to utilize a MOSFET as the clamp device, as illustrated generally by Figure 8.

Again, by choosing the gain,  $A$ , and the offset via the resistor divider ratios, the turn-on of M1 can be designed to hold  $CPV_{out}$  at greater than  $V_{cc}-0.1$ . For the case of the ISL6526, the 0.1V difference between  $V_{cc}$  and  $CPV_{out}$  is sufficiently small to avoid damage to the control IC.

Again, the amplifier gain,  $A$ , can be increased so that a comparator is implemented and hard switching of M1 results. In this case the resistors should be chosen to set the switching threshold when  $V_{cc}$  exceeds  $CPV_{out}$  by a small amount. If the threshold were set to be when the two voltages are equal, then once the switch turns

on it might *never* turn off because the two inputs would be held roughly at equality forever.

Even so, with a small offset built in to the resistor dividers, the circuit of Figure 8 contains negative feedback. It might therefore exhibit noise or oscillations near the transition points if a comparator is used. This problem can be solved trivially with some hysteresis. One simple method of accomplishing this hysteresis is shown in Figure 9, where  $R_h$  is chosen to provide enough hysteresis to avoid noisy/oscillatory transitions.

Figure 10 shows an implementation of a Figure 6 type circuit, but with the MOSFET clamp device of Figure 8. The amplifier is constructed with a common-base differential pair of PNP transistors. The two transistors can be combined in a common package and possibly matched so that their temperatures will be roughly equal and their temperature dependant parameters will therefore track.

Significantly, current is drawn from CPVout through  $R_1$  to hold M1 off when the charge pump is running. The charge pump must be able to supply this current that, with CPVout approaching 6V, will approach 300uA. With the clamp transition happening when  $V_{cc}$  is near 4.5V and the threshold voltage of the MOSFET, M1, being typically between 1 and 3 volts, the transition will occur with the collector current of Q2B in the 100uA range. Since Q2B is non-saturated at the transition and its Beta is typically near 100, Q2B's base current will on the order of 1uA.  $R_b$  will, however, be drawing nearly 80uA. The remaining 79uA or so must come from the base of Q2A. If Q2A were also non-saturated, its collector current would be several milli-amps. But the value of  $R_2$  of 3.32k dictates that only about 1.5mA of collector current will saturate Q2A. With these circuit values, therefore, the clamp transition occurs with Q2A saturated.  $R_2$  limits the saturation current, and the base-current differential between Q2A and Q2B creates a small (50-100mV) offset in the amplifier.

The clamp device will not be turned on until  $V_{cc}$  exceeds CPVout by 50-100mV. This offset is important: perchance Q2A and Q2B exhibited an inherent mismatch in their base-emitter voltages such that  $V_{be}(Q2A) < V_{be}(Q2B)$  then when

$V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$ , the clamp device, M1, may be turned on. M1 will then hold  $V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$  forevermore, and the clamp will remain locked on.

The implementation shown in Figure 11 works similarly to that in Figure 10. The transition occurs with Q2A saturated carrying an emitter-current of about 1.5mA.

5 This current must flow through R2 providing an additional 0.1V of offset.

The circuit of Figure 12 is another variant of that shown in Figure 10 that also includes an explicit offset. Q2B and Rb work as above, but Q2A, conducts the additional 79uA of Rb current while connected as a diode. This 79uA also flows through R2, requiring about 0.1V, which is the design offset.

10 The circuit of Figure 13 illustrates another slightly different approach. It can be said that the series combination of R1 and R3 holds the clamp switch, Q1, 'normally-on'. But when  $CPV_{out}$  exceeds  $V_{cc}$ , diode D1 will conduct through R2, raising the voltage across R3, lowering the voltage across R1, and therefore and shutting off Q1. It can be seen that with  $V_{cc} = CPV_{out}$ , both devices, Q1 and D1, will be on, but that's  
 15 inconsequential with the bipolar Q1. The resistors must be chosen such that, when  $CPV_{out}$  exceeds  $V_{cc}$  by a couple tenths of a volt (and Q1 could begin conducting in reverse), Q1 should be fully off. This can be difficult to guarantee over all cases and temperatures and this circuit is likely to 'leak' significantly in reverse, when  $CPV_{out}$  exceeds  $V_{cc}$ . Nonetheless it might prove entirely sufficient to the task. Diode D1  
 20 could itself be implemented in many ways, as a diode, a diode-connected transistor of either polarity, or even a reverse diode-connected transistor of either polarity.

Circuits of the type shown in Figure 7 to Figure 9 may have problems with the tolerances of the two resistor dividers. It may be difficult to insure that the switch is off when  $V_{cc} < CPV_{out}$ , yet is on when  $V_{cc}$  exceed  $CPV_{out}$  by 0.2V or so. The diode &  
 25 resistor arrangement from Figure 13 can be employed as in Figure 14, for example, to help turn the switch off when  $V_{cc}$  is below  $CPV_{out}$  thus making the tolerance of the resistor dividers less critical.

The examples thus far have all been efforts to construct an optimized version of the Schottky diode clamp shown in Figure 5. The circuits looked at the  $V_{cc}$ - $CPV_{out}$



voltage and controlled a variably conducting device in response to it. There are myriad additional well-known circuits to construct idealized diodes, each with their own strengths, limitations, and costs. Anyone skilled in the art could arrive at several more workable solutions.

5           If the charge pump minimum threshold voltage is fairly well known, then the circuits could alternatively monitor  $V_{cc}$  and any handy reference (or a scaled version of it) instead of  $CPV_{out}$ . Figure 8 recast in this manner becomes Figure 15.

          Anyone skilled in the art can choose values for  $R_2$  and  $R_4$  to set the amplifier active region near the charge pump threshold. Anyone skilled in the art could also  
10       trivially employ a reference like this in any of the other implementations already presented; they will be omitted for brevity.

          Of course the amplifiers and comparators of Figure 6 to Figure 9 can be constructed with any of a number of suitable integrated circuit OPAMPs or comparators, they could be constructed within the PWM IC itself, or they could be  
15       constructed with ‘discrete’ devices as illustrated in Figure 10 to Figure 12 for examples of a few. The discrete  $Q_{2A}$  and  $Q_{2B}$  in Figure 10 to Figure 12 are shown as PNP bipolar transistors. With appropriate biasing schemes, they could of course be NPN devices or MOSFETs of either polarity. Similarly the switches,  $Q_1$  and  $M_1$ , in Figure 6  
20       to Figure 14 could be any number of discrete devices of either polarity, they could be integrated circuit ‘CMOS Switches’, or they could be integrated in any of those forms within the PWM IC itself. The switches also needn’t be directly controlled semiconductor devices; in some cases an electromagnetic relay or a photoconductive device could be gainfully employed.

          While the circuit solutions shown in the document could be constructed external  
25       to the control IC, they could also be contained within the control IC.

          This invention may be used in conjunction with “Charge Pump with Reduced Noise” filed on January 26, 2004 with Thomas Farkas, Abram P. Dancy, Leif E. LaWhite and Martin F. Schlecht as inventors.

While this invention has been particularly shown and described with references to preferred embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention encompassed by the appended claims.